RishiWeb Website and SEO Analysis

Transputec vs AirIT

A comprehensive comparison of digital presence, performance, and user experience based on the RishiWeb methodology.

Website	Overall Score
Transputec	8.0/10
AirlT	7.2/10

Report Date: April 2025

Table of Contents

- 1. Executive Summary
- 2. Methodology & Testing Approach
- 3. Performance Analysis
- 4. SEO Analysis
- 5. Accessibility & Security Analysis
- 6. Mobile Optimization & UX Analysis
- 7. Comprehensive Recommendations

1. Executive Summary

This comprehensive analysis compares the websites of Transputec (www.transputec.com) and AirIT (www.airit.co.uk), two IT service providers in the UK. The analysis evaluates performance metrics, SEO elements, accessibility, security features, and mobile optimization to provide actionable insights and recommendations.

Key Findings

Performance Metrics

Transputec has a significantly better server response time (84ms vs 424ms) and much smaller page size (255.6KB vs 1.58MB), while AirlT has faster page load times (1346ms vs 1524ms). Transputec's efficient page size provides better performance on slower connections and mobile devices.

SEO Implementation

Both websites demonstrate strong SEO fundamentals with well-optimized titles, meta descriptions, and technical SEO elements. Transputec has better internal linking (261 vs 195 links) and more structured heading hierarchy, while AirIT could benefit from improved content organization.

Accessibility

Transputec demonstrates significantly stronger accessibility implementation with more alt texts (36 vs 29), ARIA attributes (92 vs 19), tabindex elements (116 vs 2), and skip links (4 vs 0), making it more inclusive for users with disabilities.

Mobile & UX

AirIT offers better visual design with vibrant colors and more responsive images (21 vs 3), while Transputec uses flexbox for layouts and has better technical performance. AirIT's call to action ("Get a free consultation") is more compelling than Transputec's generic "Get in Touch".

Category Scores

Category	Transputec	AirlT
Performance	8.5/10	7.5/10
SEO	8.5/10	8.0/10
Accessibility	8.5/10	5.5/10
Security	7.0/10	6.5/10

Mobile & UX	7.5/10	8.5/10
Overall	8.0/10	7.2/10

Priority Recommendations

- 1. For Transputec: Implement more responsive images and mobile-specific navigation elements to improve mobile user experience.
- 2. For AirIT: Reduce page size (1.58MB) and improve server response time (424ms) to enhance performance, especially on mobile devices.
- 3. For AirIT: Significantly improve accessibility implementation with more ARIA attributes, skip links, and better keyboard navigation.
- 4. For Both: Implement advanced security headers including HSTS, Content Security Policy, and XSS protection.

2. Methodology & Testing Approach

Our analysis follows the RishiWeb methodology, a comprehensive framework designed to evaluate websites across five key categories. This standardized approach ensures objective assessment and meaningful comparisons between competing websites.

Performance Testing

Evaluates loading speed, server response time, and resource efficiency - critical factors that directly impact user experience and search rankings.

- Server Response Time
- Page Load Speed
- Resource Loading
- Content Size

SEO Assessment

Examines search engine optimization factors that determine visibility in search results and organic traffic potential.

- Meta Tag Analysis
- Heading Structure
- Internal Linking
- Keyword Implementation

Accessibility Evaluation

Verifies compliance with accessibility standards to ensure the website is usable by people with diverse abilities.

- ARIA Attributes
- Alt Text Implementation
- Keyboard Navigation
- Skip Links

Security Analysis

Assesses implementation of security best practices to protect user data and website integrity.

- HTTPS Implementation
- Security Headers
- Cookie Management
- Data Protection

Mobile & UX Analysis

Evaluates mobile responsiveness and user experience factors that impact visitor engagement and conversion.

- Responsive Design
- Navigation Structure
- Call-to-Action Effectiveness
- Visual Hierarchy

3. Performance Analysis

Our performance analysis compares loading speeds, server response times, and resource efficiency between Transputec and AirlT. These metrics directly impact user experience, bounce rates, and search engine rankings.

Page Load Performance

Metric	Transputec	AirlT	Difference	Better Performance
Page Load Time	1524ms	1346ms	178ms (13.2%)	AirlT
DOM Load Time	1508ms	1328ms	180ms (13.6%)	AirlT
Server Response Time	84ms	424ms	340ms (404.8%)	Transputec
Page Size	255.6KB	1.58MB	1.33MB (532.5%)	Transputec

Resource Counts

Resource Type	Transputec	AirlT	Difference
Links	282	213	69 more on Transputec
Images	38	45	7 more on AirIT
Scripts	72	57	15 more on Transputec
Stylesheets	0	4	4 more on AirIT

Performance Recommendations

- For Transputec: Optimize page load time by reducing script count or implementing better script loading strategies
- For Transputec: Consider implementing stylesheet files for better CSS organization instead of inline styles
- For AirIT: Improve server response time through better server optimization or hosting upgrades
- For AirIT: Reduce overall page size through image optimization and resource minification
- For AirIT: Consider reducing the number of external stylesheets by combining them

4. SEO Analysis

Our SEO analysis examines on-page optimization, content structure, and technical SEO elements that impact search visibility and organic traffic potential for both Transputec and AirIT.

Title and Meta Description

Element	Transputec	AirlT	Observations	
Title Tag	IT Support Company in London & UK Best	Tissenaige @Provisitervices - Business IT Services	&TSehatjoutee iAirluīdes location, Air	T focuses on service
Title Length	69 characters	65 characters	Both within optimal range (50-70)	
Meta Description	Transputec is one the UK's leading providers	o A ITT Sape eax poentspiansy, rambe din & Weinesped T 3	esseinateseined Anglining Mendunitte alvety Steps	ioness.Chemoynunication
Meta Description Len	gtħ33 characters	139 characters	Both within optimal range (120-1	55)

Heading Structure

Heading Type	Transputec	AirlT	Observations
H1	1	1	Both follow best practice of single H1
H2	10	1	Transputec uses more H2s for content structu
H3	50	25	Both use H3s extensively for subsections
H4-H6	1	17	AirIT uses deeper heading hierarchy

Internal & External Linking

Link Type	Transputec	AirIT	Difference
Internal Links	261	195	66 more on Transputec
External Links	9	11	2 more on AirIT

SEO Recommendations

- For Transputec: Consider organizing content with a more balanced heading hierarchy (more H4-H6 tags where appropriate)
- For Transputec: Maintain strong internal linking structure
- For Transputec: Continue location-specific targeting in key SEO elements
- For AirIT: Consider adding more H2 headings to better structure main content sections

- For AirIT: Increase internal linking to improve site crawlability
- For AirIT: Consider adding location-specific keywords if targeting local markets

5. Accessibility & Security Analysis

Our accessibility and security analysis evaluates compliance with accessibility standards and implementation of security best practices for both Transputec and AirIT websites.

Accessibility Features

Accessibility Feature	Transputec	AirlT	Observations
Alt Text for Images	36	29	Transputec has more images with alt text
ARIA Attributes	92	19	Transputec has significantly more ARIA attrib
TabIndex Elements	116	2	Transputec has extensive tabindex implemen
Language Attribute	Yes	Yes	Both specify document language
Skip Links	4	0	Only Transputec implements skip links

Security Implementation

Security Feature	Transputec	AirlT	Observations	
HTTPS	Yes	Yes	Both sites use secure connections	
HSTS	No	No	Neither site implements HTTP Strict Tra	ansport Security
Content Security Policy	No	No	Neither site implements CSP headers	
XSS Protection	No	No	Neither site implements explicit XSS pr	otection headers
Cookie Consent	Yes	Yes	Both sites implement cookie consent m	echanisms
Cookie Count	1	17	Transputec uses significantly fewer coo	kies

Accessibility & Security Recommendations

- For Transputec: Implement HSTS to ensure secure connections are always used
- For Transputec: Add Content Security Policy headers to prevent XSS attacks
- For Transputec: Consider implementing explicit XSS protection headers
- For Transputec: Continue strong accessibility practices and consider an accessibility audit to identify any remaining issues
- For AirIT: Implement HSTS, Content Security Policy, and XSS protection headers
- For AirIT: Reduce the number of cookies used to improve privacy and performance
- For AirIT: Significantly improve ARIA implementation and keyboard navigation
- For AirIT: Add skip links to improve navigation for keyboard users

• For AirIT: Increase alt text coverage for all images

6. Mobile Optimization & UX Analysis

Our mobile optimization and user experience analysis evaluates responsive design, navigation structure, and user interface elements that impact visitor engagement and conversion for both Transputec and AirlT websites.

Mobile Responsiveness

Mobile Feature	Transputec	AirIT	Observations	
Viewport Meta Tag	Yes	Yes	Both implement proper viewport settings	
Responsive Images	3	21	AirIT has significantly more responsive im	nages
Media Queries	Yes	Yes	Both sites use media queries for responsi	sive layouts
Flexbox Usage	Yes	No	Only Transputec uses flexbox for layouts	;

User Experience Elements

UX Feature	Transputec	AirIT	Observations
Primary Call to Action	"Get in Touch"	"Get a free consultation"	AirIT's CTA offers specific value propositio
Visible Contact Info	Yes	Yes	Both display contact information prominent
Live Chat Support	Yes	No	Only Transputec offers live chat
Testimonials/Social Proof	Yes	Yes	Both showcase client testimonials
Color Scheme	Dark blue/white	Orange/white	AirIT uses more vibrant, attention-grabbing

Mobile Optimization & UX Recommendations

- For Transputec: Implement more responsive images to better accommodate various screen sizes and resolutions
- For Transputec: Add mobile-specific navigation elements to improve usability on smaller screens
- For Transputec: Consider making the call to action more specific and value-oriented
- For Transputec: Maintain the excellent page size and server response time advantages
- For AirIT: Reduce overall page size to improve mobile loading performance
- For AirIT: Implement flexbox layouts for more sophisticated responsive behavior
- For AirIT: Improve server response time for faster initial page loading
- For AirIT: Consider adding live chat support for immediate user assistance
- For AirIT: Maintain the strong responsive images implementation and clear visual hierarchy

7. Comprehensive Recommendations

Based on our thorough analysis, we've compiled prioritized recommendations to help both Transputec and AirIT improve their websites' performance, user experience, and competitive advantage.

Priority Recommendations for Transputec

High Priority

Mobile Optimization: Implement more responsive images and mobile-specific navigation elements to improve mobile user experience.

High Priority

User Experience: Create a more specific, value-oriented call to action that communicates a clear benefit to visitors.

Medium Priority

Performance: Optimize script loading and consider implementing stylesheet files for better CSS organization.

Medium Priority

Security: Implement HSTS, Content Security Policy, and XSS protection headers to enhance security posture.

Priority Recommendations for AirIT

High Priority

Performance: Reduce page size (1.58MB) and improve server response time (424ms) to enhance performance, especially on mobile devices.

High Priority

Accessibility: Significantly improve ARIA implementation, add skip links, and increase alt text coverage for better inclusivity.

Medium Priority

SEO: Add more H2 headings and increase internal linking to improve content structure and site crawlability.

Medium Priority

Security: Reduce cookie count and implement advanced security headers to improve privacy and security.

User Experience: Consider adding live chat support for immediate user assistance.

Conclusion

Both Transputec and AirlT have well-designed websites with different strengths and weaknesses. Transputec excels in technical performance, accessibility, and efficient resource usage, while AirlT offers better visual design, mobile-specific elements, and user experience.

Overall, Transputec scores slightly higher (8.0/10) than AirIT (7.2/10) due to its superior technical implementation, accessibility features, and efficient performance. However, both websites would benefit from implementing the recommendations outlined in this report to enhance their online presence and user experience.